RECEIVED							
	VENTURA SUPERIOR COURT						
	06/20/23						
1 2	Marlin & Saltzman, LLP Stephen P. O'Dell (SBN 132279) Karen I. Gold (SBN 258360)	VENTURA SUPERIOR COURT					
3	29800 Agoura Road, Suite 210 Agoura Hills, California 91301	FILED 06/30/2023 Brenda L. McCormick					
4	Telephone: (818) 991-8080 Facsimile: (818) 991-8081	Executive Officer and Clerk					
5 6	sodell@marlinsaltzman.com kgold@marlinsaltzman.com	Cristal Alvarez					
7	Weilbacher & Weilbacher APC Brian R. Weilbacher (SBN: 211472)						
8	1280 S. Victoria Ave., Suite 270 Ventura, California 93003						
9	Telephone: 805.485.4575 Facsimile: 805.485.3646						
10	Email: brianweilbacher@weilbacherlaw.com						
11 12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Jordan Richard and Jim Oleson, individually and on behalf of all						
	those similarly situated SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF VENTURA						
14 15	JORDAN RICHARD, individually and on behalf of all those similarly situated; JIM OLESON, individually and on behalf of all	Case No.: 56-2015-00471720-CU-OE-VTA					
16	those similarly situated;	Judge: The Honorable Ronda J. McKaig					
17	Plaintiffs,	Department: 41					
18	V.	<u>AMENDED</u> [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT					
19	SYSTEMS APPLICATION & TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; CARDINAL	GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND					
20	POINT CAPTAINS, INC.; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, SETTLEMENT					
21	Defendants.	ADMINISTRATION COSTS, AND PLAINTIFFS' SERVICE AWARDS					
22		TLANTITT'S SERVICE AWARDS					
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	AMENDED [PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT						

1 Plaintiffs Jordan Richard and Jim Oleson ("Plaintiffs" or "Representative Plaintiffs"), individually and on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated non-exempt employees 2 3 who worked for defendants Systems Application & Technologies, Inc. ("Sa-Tech") and Cardinal 4 Point Captains, Inc. ("CPC") (collectively "Defendants") (together with Plaintiffs, the "Parties"), moved for an order requesting the Court grant final approval of their Stipulation of Class Action 5 6 Settlement and Release (the "Original Settlement Agreement") and the Amendment to Stipulation 7 of Class Action Settlement and Release (the "Amendment") (together with the Original Settlement 8 Agreement, the "Settlement"). Defendants do not oppose this request.

9 The Parties also requested that the Court award and approve the requested attorney fees
10 and costs, settlement administration costs, and plaintiffs' service awards.

The Parties reached a settlement subject to Court approval as set forth in the Settlement,
which was previously filed with this Court.

On June 23, 2023, this Court conducted a Final Approval Hearing pursuant to Rule 3.769
of the California Rules of Court and this Court's previous order granting Plaintiffs' Motion for
Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (the "*Preliminary Approval Order*") entered on
July 8, 2022.

Due and adequate notice having been given to Class Members as set forth in the
Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings
conducted in this case and otherwise being fully informed of the matter, and good cause appearing
therefor:

## 21 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

 For the reasons set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order (which is adopted and incorporated herein by reference), the Court finds that the applicable requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure §382 and Rule 3.769 of the California Rules of Court have been satisfied with respect to the Settlement Class and the proposed Settlement. The Court hereby makes final its earlier provisional certification of the Settlement Class, as set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order.

28 ///

- 2. This order and judgment hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the terms and conditions of the Settlement, together with the definitions of the terms used and contained therein, and all terms used herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement unless otherwise specifically stated.
- The Court finds that it has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this class action and over all parties to the action, including all members of the Settlement Class.
- 4. The Notice Packet provided to Settlement Class Members fully, adequately, and accurately informed Settlement Class Members of all material elements of the proposed settlement and of their opportunity to object, opt-out, or otherwise dispute matters in connection with the Settlement. The Notice Packet was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; it provided valid, due, and sufficient notice to all Settlement Class Members, and it complied fully with the laws of the State of California, the United States Constitution, due process, and other applicable law. The Notice Packet fairly and adequately described the Settlement and provided Settlement Class Members adequate instructions and a variety of means to obtain additional information. A full opportunity has been afforded to the Settlement Class Members to participate in the Final Approval Hearing, and all Settlement Class Members and other persons wishing to be heard have had such opportunity or been heard to the extent necessary. Accordingly, the Court determines that all Settlement Class Members who did not timely and properly execute a request for exclusion are bound by this order and judgment.
- 5. The Court has considered all relevant factors for determining the fairness of the Settlement
  and has concluded that all such factors weigh in favor of granting final approval. In
  particular, the Court finds that the Settlement was reached following meaningful discovery
  and investigation conducted by Class Counsel; that the Settlement is the result of serious,
  informed, adversarial, and arm's-length negotiations between the parties; and that the
  terms of the Settlement are in all respects fair, adequate, and reasonable.
  - 6. The Court further finds that former putative class members' claims have been tolled since the inception of this action and such claims remained tolled through the date of this order.

7. In so finding, the Court has considered all of the evidence presented, including evidence regarding the strength of plaintiffs' case; the risk, expense, and complexity of the claims presented; the likely duration of further litigation; the amount offered in Settlement; the extent of investigation and discovery completed; and the experience and views of Class Counsel.

- 8. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the Settlement in all respects as set forth in the Settlement and expressly finds that said Settlement is, in all respects, fair, adequate, and reasonable, and in the best interests of the entire Settlement Class, and hereby orders and directs implementation of all remaining terms, conditions, and provisions of the Settlement.
- 9. The Court hereby approves and awards the requested attorneys' fees to Class Counsel in the amount of \$1,813,444.50, as compensation for the attorney time spent on this matter from inception through and including the Final Approval Hearing and for the other work related to this case. The attorney fees request is fair and reasonable for the reasons set forth in Class Counsel's motion for fees, costs, and service awards.
- 10. The Court further approves: 1) Class Counsel's requested costs in the amount of \$24,294.53; and 2) the requested settlement administrator costs in the amount of \$9,000. These requested costs have also been determined to be fair and reasonable based on the filed motion for fees, costs, and service awards.
- 11. The Court hereby approves the requested service awards to the Representative Plaintiffs in the amount of \$15,000 to representative plaintiff Oleson, and \$10,000 to representative plaintiff Richard. The requested service awards are fair and reasonable for the reasons set forth in the filed motion for fees, costs, and service awards, including the Representative Plaintiffs' contributions for the benefit of the Settlement Class as a whole, the time spent in connection with the case and its duration, the risks incurred and the potential for adverse consequences, the execution of a general release, and the overall outcome of the case for the benefit of the Settlement Class.
- 28 12. Seventy-Five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000) from the Net Settlement Sum shall be allocated

AMENDED [PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

1	to the resolution of the PAGA claim, of which 75% (\$56,250) will be paid directly to the	
2	Labor & Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA"), and the remaining 25% (\$18,750)	
3	will be distributed to the PAGA Aggrieved Employees.	
4	13. Defendants shall submit additional settlement funds in the amount of \$313,848.06 to CPT	
5	pursuant to the terms of the Amendment within fifteen (15) days of this Order.	
6	14. This judgment, upon the effectiveness of the releases of claims provided for in the	
7	Settlement, shall constitute a full and complete bar against the Settlement Class as to all of	
8	the claims released by the Settlement and shall be res judicata and collateral estoppel with	
9	respect to such prior, current, or future released claims.	
10	15. One Class Member, Albert Anderson, has timely requested to opt-out of the class	
11	settlement and shall not be bound by this Judgement, nor the Settlement Agreement and	
12	associated release of claims.	
13	16. By operation of this final order and judgment, as of the Effective Date, the parties and	
14	Settlement Class Members are ordered to perform and abide by their respective duties and	
15	obligations under the Settlement.	
16	17. The Court will hold a status conference on (within sixty (60) days of this	
17	Order) to verify payment of all funds has been made by Defendants and that such funds	
18	have been distributed in accordance with the Settlement. The Settlement Administrator	
19	shall provide a declaration for submission to the Court no later than five (5) days prior to	
20	the status conference concerning the receipt and deposit of the required payments from	
21	Defendants and the distribution of such funds in accordance with the Settlement and any	
22	other duties it has performed in connection therewith as specified in the Settlement.	
23	18. Based on the Settlement and final approval provided for herein, the pending preliminary	
24	injunction proceedings against Defendant CPC are no longer necessary and the temporary	
25	restraining order issued with respect to Defendant CPC (dated March 12, 2020) shall be	
26	dissolved as of the Effective Date.	
27	19. If the Settlement does not become final, effective, and completed in accordance with the	
28		

Ventura Superior Court Accepted through eDelivery submitted 06-20-2023 at 12:43:14 PM

AMENDED [PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

and shall be vacated and, in such event, all orders entered, including but not limited to all releases contemplated in connection herewith, shall be null and void.

## JUDGMENT

In accordance with, and for the reasons stated in the Final Approval Order, judgment is
hereby rendered and entered under and in accordance with the Final Approval Order terms,
whereby the Representative Plaintiffs and all Settlement Class Members shall take from
Defendants that which is expressly set forth in the executed Settlement Agreement and
amendment thereto, and provided for therein and in conformance with the Final Approval Order.

Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §664.6 and Rule 3.769(h) of the California
Rules of Court and in accordance with Section V.E of the Settlement Agreement, this Court
reserves exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over this action, the Representative Plaintiffs,
Settlement Class Members, and Defendants, for the purpose of supervising the implementation,
enforcement, construction, and interpretation of the Settlement Agreement, the Preliminary
Approval Order, and this Final Approval Order and Judgment, and to supervise the payment and
distribution of the amounts to be paid under the Settlement.

## 16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

06/23/2023

17	
18	Dated:
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1

2

3

The Honorable Ronda J. McKaig Judge of the Superior Court

6 <u>AMENDED [PROPOSED]</u> FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
2		) ss.			
3	COUNTY OF LOS ANGEI				
4 5	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 29800 Agoura Road, Suite 210, Agoura Hills, California 91301.				
6	On June 20, 2023, I served the foregoing document described as <b>AMENDED</b>				
7	[PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION COSTS, AND				
8	PLAINTIFFS' SERVICE	AWARDS on all interested parties in said action: SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST			
9	[] (VIA US MAIL) I ca	aused such envelope(s) to be deposited in the mail at Agoura Hills,			
10	California with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. It is deposited with the				
11 12	U.S. Postal Service on that same day in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.				
13 14		PRESS) I caused to have served such document(s) by depositing them goura Hills, California, for priority overnight next day delivery.			
14		used to have such documents sent by electronic service by g a true and correct copy through Marlin & Saltzman's electronic mail			
16		address(s) set forth below, or as stated on the attached service list - cordance with California Rules of Court, Rule 2.260.			
17 18	[X] (STATE) I declare u the above is true and	nder penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that correct.			
19	[] (FEDERAL) I declar at whose direction th	re that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court e service was made.			
20	Executed on June 20	, 2023, at Agoura Hills, California.			
21		<u>Sandy</u> <u>Lavann</u> Sandy Laranjo			
22		Sandy Laranjo			
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	AMENI	DED [PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT			

1	<i>Richard. v. Systems Application &amp; Technologies, Inc.</i> Ventura Superior Court Case No. 56-2015-00471720-CU-OE-VTA Service List		
2			
3 4	Marie Burke Kenny, Esq. Edward C. Walton, Esq.	Attorneys for Defendant Cardinal Point Captains, Inc. and Defendant Systems	
5	PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP	Application & Technologies, Inc.	
6	525 B Street, Suite 2200 San Diego, CA 92101		
7	Telephone:         (619) 238-1900           Facsimile:         (619) 235-0398		
8 9	marie.kenny@procopio.com ed.walton@procopio.com calendaring@procopio.com		
10	Annie M. Ellis, Esq.	Attorneys for Defendant Cardinal Point Captains, Inc.	
11	LAW OFFICE OF ANNIE M. ELLIS, APC 550 West B Street, Suite 400 See Diago CA 02101	Cuptuins, me.	
12	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 798-8075		
13 14	Facsimile: (619) 331-2177 annie.ellis@annieellislaw.com		
14	Brian R. Weilbacher, Esq. Lisa D. Walker, Esq	Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs	
16	WEILBACHER & WEILBACHER APC 1280 S. Victoria Ave., Suite 270		
17	Ventura, California 93003		
18	Telephone:         (805) 485-4575           Facsimile:         (805) 485-3646		
19	brianweilbacher@weilbacherlaw.com lisa@weilbacherlaw.com		
20	danielle@weilbacherlaw.com		
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
25 26			
20 27			
28			
	8 <u>AMENDED</u> [PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT		